arXiv:1304.6563v1 [math.CO] 24 Apr 2013

Intersecting integer partitions

Peter Borg

Department of Mathematics, University of Malta, Malta
p.borg.02@cantab.net

Abstract

If $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ and n are positive integers such that $n = a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_k$, then the sum $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_k$ is said to be a *partition of* n of *length* k, and $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ are said to be the *parts* of the partition. Two partitions that differ only in the order of their parts are considered to be the same. We say that two partitions *intersect* if they have at least one common part. We call a set A of partitions *intersecting* if any two partitions in A intersect. Let $P_{n,k}$ be the set of all partitions of n of length k. We conjecture that if $2 \leq k \leq n$, then the size of any intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ is at most the size of $P_{n-1,k-1}$, which is the size of the intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ consisting of those partitions which have 1 as a part. The conjecture is trivially true for $n \leq 2k$, and we prove it for $n \geq 5k^5$. We also generalise this for subsets of $P_{n,k}$ with the property that any two of their members have at least t common parts.

1 Introduction

Unless otherwise stated, we shall use small letters such as x to denote elements of a set or positive integers or functions, capital letters such as X to denote sets, and calligraphic letters such as $\mathcal{F}$ to denote *families* (i.e. sets whose elements are sets themselves). We call a set A an r*-element set* if its size $|A|$ is r (i.e. if it contains exactly r elements). The *power set* of a set X (i.e. the family of all subsets of X) is denoted by 2^X, and the family of all r-element subsets of X is denoted by $\binom{X}{r}$. For any integer $n \geq 1$, the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of the first n positive integers is denoted by $[n]$.

In the literature, a sum $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$ is said to be a *partition of* n of *length* k if $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ and n are positive integers such that $n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$. If $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$ is a partition, then $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ are said to be its *parts*. Two partitions that differ only in the order of their parts are considered to be the same. Thus, we can refine the definition of a partition as follows. We call a tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ a *partition of* n of *length* k if $a_1, \dots, a_k$ and n are positive integers such that $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i$ and $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k$. We will be using the latter definition throughout the rest of the paper.

For any tuple $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and any $i \in [k]$, we call a_i the *i'th entry of* $\mathbf{a}$, and if $\mathbf{a}$ is a partition, then we also call a_i a *part of* $\mathbf{a}$.

For any n, let P_n be the set of all partitions of n, and for any k, let $P_{n,k}$ be the set of all partitions of n of length k. So $P_{n,k}$ is non-empty if and only if $1 \leq k \leq n$. Moreover, $P_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{n,i}$. Let $p_n = |P_n|$ and $p_{n,k} = |P_{n,k}|$. To the best of the author's knowledge, no closed-form expression is known for p_n and $p_{n,k}$; for more about these values, we refer the reader to [2].

For any set A of integer partitions and any set T of positive integers, let $A(T)$ denote the set of all members of A which have each integer in T as a part; so $A(T) =$

$\{\mathbf{a} \in A \colon T$ is a subset of the set of parts of $\mathbf{a}\}$. For an integer a, we may abbreviate the notation $A(\{a\})$ to $A(a)$. Thus, we have

$$P_{n,k}(1) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in P_{n,k} \colon a_1 = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_n(1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{n,i}(1).$$

Note that $|P_n(1)| = p_{n-1}$ and $|P_{n,k}(1)| = p_{n-1,k-1}$.

We say that two partitions *intersect* if they have at least one common part; in other words, a partition $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ intersects a partition $(b_1, \dots, b_s)$ if $a_i = b_j$ for some $i \in [r]$ and $j \in [s]$. We call a set A of partitions *intersecting* if any two partitions in A intersect. Thus, for any set A of partitions, $A(1)$ is intersecting.

We suggest the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 1.1 (Weak Form) *$P_n(1)$ is an intersecting subset of P_n of maximum size.*

Conjecture 1.2 (Strong Form) *For $2 \leq k \leq n$, $P_{n,k}(1)$ is an intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size.*

Proposition 1.3 *If Conjecture 1.2 is true, then Conjecture 1.1 is true, and for any $n \geq 3$, $P_n(1)$ is the unique intersecting subset of P_n of maximum size.*

Proof. The result is trivial for $n \leq 2$, so suppose $n \geq 3$. Let A be an intersecting subset of P_n. For each $k \in [n]$, let $A_k = A \cap P_{n,k}$. So $A_1, \dots, A_n$ are intersecting, and $|A| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |A_k|$. (n) is the only partition in $P_{n,1}$. No partition in P_n other than (n) intersects (n). Thus, if $(n) \in A$, then $A = \{(n)\}$ and hence $|A| = 1 < |P_n(1)|$. Now suppose $(n) \notin A$. Then $A_1 = \emptyset$. Suppose Conjecture 1.2 is true. Then $|A_k| \leq |P_{n,k}(1)|$ for any $k \in [n]$. So we have $|A| = \sum_{k=2}^{n} |A_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} |P_{n,k}(1)| = |P_n(1)|$. $P_{n,n}$ has only one partition $\mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{a} = (1, \dots, 1)$. If $\mathbf{a} \in A$, then, since A is intersecting, $A \subseteq P_n(1)$. If $\mathbf{a} \notin A$, then $A_n = \emptyset$ and hence $|A| = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |A_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |P_{n,k}(1)| < \sum_{k=2}^{n} |P_{n,k}(1)| = |P_n(1)|$. □

We will prove that if $k \geq 3$ and n is sufficiently larger than k, then $P_{n,k}(1)$ is the unique intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size. We suspect that this holds for any $n \geq k \geq 4$. This is not the case for $k = 2$ and $n \geq 4$ (see below), and for $k = 3$ and some values of n (it is easy to check this for $6 \leq n \leq 10$). For example, $\{(1,2,7), (1,3,6), (1,4,5), (2,3,5)\}$ is an intersecting subset of $P_{10,3}$ of size $|P_{10,3}(1)|$.

Conjecture 1.2 is true for $n \leq 2k$.

Proposition 1.4 *Let $2 \leq k \leq n \leq 2k$. Then $P_{n,k}(1)$ is an intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size, and uniquely so unless $2 \leq k \leq 3$ and $n = 2k$.*

Proof. The result is trivial for $2 \leq k \leq 3$, so consider $k \geq 4$. Suppose $n < 2k$. Then every partition of n of length k has 1 as a part (because the sum of k integers that are all greater than 1 is at least $2k$). Now suppose $n = 2k$. Let $\mathbf{a}_1$ be the partition in $P_{n,k}$ whose k entries are all 2. Then $\mathbf{a}_1$ is the only partition in $P_{n,k}$ that does not have 1 as a part. Let $\mathbf{a}_2$ be the partition $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ in $P_{n,k}(1)$ with $a_1 = \dots = a_{k-1} = 1$ and $a_k = n-k+1$. So $\mathbf{a}_1$ does not intersect $\mathbf{a}_2$. Suppose A is an intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ that contains $\mathbf{a}_1$. Then $\mathbf{a}_2 \notin A$ and hence $|A| \leq |P_{n,k}(1)|$. Let $\mathbf{a}_3 = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ with $b_1 = \dots = b_{k-2} = 1$, $b_{k-1} = 3$ and $b_k = n - k - 1$. Then $\mathbf{a}_3 \in P_{n,k}(1)$ and $\mathbf{a}_3 \notin A$. So $|A| < |P_{n,k}(1)|$. □

Using ideas from [3], we will prove that Conjecture 1.2 is also true for $n \geq 5k^5$.

Theorem 1.5 *For $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 5k^5$, $P_{n,k}(1)$ is the unique intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size.*

We will actually prove two generalisations (Theorems 2.4 and 2.7) of this result in Section 3. For $k = 2$, $P_{n,k}(1)$ is a largest intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$, but not uniquely so if $n \geq 4$. Indeed, each partition $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$ in $P_{n,2}$ must have $a_2 = n - a_1$, and hence no other partition in $P_{n,2}$ intersects $\mathbf{a}$; so an intersecting subset of $P_{n,2}$ cannot have more than one member.

Theorem 1.5 is an analogue of the classical Erdős-Ko-Rado (EKR) Theorem [6], which inspired many results in extremal set theory (see [4, 5, 7]). With a slight abuse of terminology, we say that a family $\mathcal{A}$ of sets is *intersecting* if any two sets in $\mathcal{A}$ intersect (i.e. $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$). The EKR Theorem says that if $r \leq n/2$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is an intersecting subfamily of $\binom{[n]}{r}$, then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{r-1}$, and equality holds if $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \binom{[n]}{r} \colon 1 \in A\}$.

Remark 1.6 The above conjectures and results can be re-phrased in terms of intersecting subfamilies of a family. For any integer partition $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, let $S_{\mathbf{a}} = \{(a, i) \colon i \in [k], |\{j \in [k] \colon a_j = a\}| \geq i\}$; thus, $(a, 1), \ldots, (a, r) \in S_{\mathbf{a}}$ if and only if r of the entries of $\mathbf{a}$ are a. For example, $S_{(2,2,5,5,5,7)} = \{(2,1), (2,2), (5,1), (5,2), (5,3), (7,1)\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \{S_{\mathbf{a}} \colon \mathbf{a} \in P_n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n,k} = \{S_{\mathbf{a}} \colon \mathbf{a} \in P_{n,k}\}$. Let $f : P_n \to \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $f(\mathbf{a}) = S_{\mathbf{a}}$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in P_{n,k}$. Clearly, f is a bijection. So $|\mathcal{P}_n| = |P_n|$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{n,k}| = |P_{n,k}|$. Note that two integer partitions $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ intersect if and only if $S_{\mathbf{a}} \cap S_{\mathbf{b}} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, a subset A of $P_{n,k}$ is a largest intersecting subset if and only if $\{S_{\mathbf{a}} \colon \mathbf{a} \in A\}$ is a largest intersecting subfamily of $\mathcal{P}_{n,k}$.

2 t-intersecting integer partitions

A family $\mathcal{A}$ of sets is said to be *t-intersecting* if $|A \cap B| \geq t$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. A t-intersecting family $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *non-trivial* if $|\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A| < t$ (i.e. the number of elements common to all the sets in $\mathcal{A}$ is less than t). Note that an intersecting family is a 1-intersecting family. In addition to the EKR Theorem (see Section 1), it was also proved in [6] that if n is sufficiently larger than r, then the size of any t-intersecting subfamily of $\binom{[n]}{r}$ is at most $\binom{n-t}{r-t}$, and hence $\{A \in \binom{[n]}{r} \colon [t] \subset A\}$ is a largest t-intersecting subfamily of $\binom{[n]}{r}$. The complete solution for any n, r and t is given in [1]; it turns out that $\{A \in \binom{[n]}{r} \colon [t] \subset A\}$ is a largest t-intersecting subfamily of $\binom{[n]}{r}$ if and only if $n \geq (r - t + 1)(t + 1)$.

We introduce two generalisations of the definition of an intersecting set of integer partitions.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_s)$ be two integer partitions. We say that $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ *t-intersect* if they have t common parts (not necessarily distinct); more precisely, $\mathbf{a}$ t-intersects $\mathbf{b}$ if there are t distinct integers $i_1, \ldots, i_t$ in $[r]$ and t distinct integers $j_1, \ldots, j_t$ in $[s]$ such that $a_{i_p} = b_{j_p}$ for each $p \in [t]$. We say that $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ *t-intersect properly* if they have t distinct common parts; in other words, $\mathbf{a}$ t-intersects $\mathbf{b}$ properly if $|\{a_i \colon i \in [r]\} \cap \{b_j \colon j \in [s]| \geq t$. Note that if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ t-intersect properly, then $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ t-intersect.

Let A be a set of integer partitions. With a slight abuse of terminology, we say that A is *t-intersecting* if for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A$, $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ t-intersect. We say that A is *properly t-intersecting* if for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A$, $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ t-intersect properly. Note that an intersecting set of integer partitions is 1-intersecting and properly 1-intersecting.

We suggest generalisations of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 along the lines of the general definitions above.

For any set A of integer partitions, let $A\langle t\rangle$ denote the set of all partitions in A whose first t entries are 1. Thus, for $1 \leq t \leq k \leq n$,

$$P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle = \{(a_1,\dots,a_k) \in P_{n,k} \colon a_1 = \cdots = a_t = 1\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_n\langle t\rangle = \bigcup_{i=t}^{n} P_{n,i}\langle t\rangle.$$

Note that $|P_n\langle t\rangle| = |P_{n-t}|$ and $|P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle| = |P_{n-t,k-t}|$. Also note that $A(1) = A\langle 1\rangle$.

We first present and discuss our conjectures for t-intersecting partitions.

Conjecture 2.1 *$P_n\langle t\rangle$ is a t-intersecting subset of P_n of maximum size.*

Conjecture 2.2 *For $t+1 \leq k \leq n$, $P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle$ is a t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size.*

Note that if $t = k < n$, then $P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle = \emptyset$, $P_{n,k} \neq \emptyset$, and the t-intersecting subsets of $P_{n,k}$ are the 1-element subsets. If $k < t$, then $P_{n,k}$ has no non-empty t-intersecting subsets. If Conjecture 2.2 is true, then, by an argument similar to that of Proposition 1.3, Conjecture 2.1 is true.

Proposition 2.3 *Conjecture 2.2 is true for $n \leq 2k - t + 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.4, we may assume that $t \geq 2$. Suppose $n \leq 2k - t + 1$. For any $\mathbf{c} = (c_1,\dots,c_k) \in P_{n,k}$, let $L_{\mathbf{c}} = \{i \in [k] \colon c_i = 1\}$ and let $l_{\mathbf{c}} = |L_{\mathbf{c}}|$.

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1,\dots,c_k) \in P_{n,k}$. We have $2k - t + 1 \geq n = \sum_{i\in L_{\mathbf{c}}} c_i + \sum_{j\in[k]\backslash L_{\mathbf{c}}} c_j \geq \sum_{i\in L_{\mathbf{c}}} 1 + \sum_{j\in[k]\backslash L_{\mathbf{c}}} 2 = l_{\mathbf{c}} + 2(k - l_{\mathbf{c}}) = 2k - l_{\mathbf{c}}$. Thus, $l_{\mathbf{c}} \geq t-1$, and equality holds only if $n = 2k-t+1$ and $c_j = 2$ for each $j \in [k]\backslash L_{\mathbf{c}}$. Since $c_1 \leq \cdots \leq c_k$, $L_{\mathbf{c}} = [l_{\mathbf{c}}]$.

Let A be a t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$. If $l_{\mathbf{a}} \geq t$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in A$, then $A \subseteq P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle$. Suppose $l_{\mathbf{a}} = t-1$ for some $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\dots,a_k) \in A$. Then, by the above, we have $n = 2k-t+1$, $a_i = 1$ for each $i \in [t-1]$, $a_j = 2$ for each $j \in [k]\backslash[t-1]$, and $P_{n,k} = P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle \cup \{\mathbf{a}\}$. Let $\mathbf{b}$ be the partition $(b_1,\dots,b_k)$ in $P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle$ with $b_k = n-k+1 = k-t+2$ and $b_i = 1$ for each $i \in [k-1]$. So $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ do not t-intersect, and hence $\mathbf{b} \notin A$. So $|A| \leq |P_{n,k}|-1 = |P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle|$. □

The following generalisation of Theorem 1.5 tells us that Conjecture 2.2 is also true for $n \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1}k^3$. Its proof is given in the next section.

Theorem 2.4 *For $k \geq t+2$ and $n \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1}k^3$, $P_{n,k}\langle t\rangle$ is the unique t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size.*

Conjecture 2.2 is also true for $k = t+1$. Indeed, if two partitions of n of length $t+1$ have t common parts $a_1,\dots,a_t$ (not necessarily distinct), then the remaining part of each partition is $n - (a_1 + \cdots + a_t)$, and hence the partitions are the same. Thus, the t-intersecting subsets of $P_{n,t+1}$ are the 1-element subsets. So $P_{n,t+1}\langle t\rangle$ is a largest t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$, but not uniquely so if $n \geq t+3$ (because in this case, at least there is also $\{(1,\dots,1,2,n-t-1)\}$).

We now present and discuss our conjectures for properly t-intersecting partitions.

Conjecture 2.5 *$P_n([t])$ is a properly t-intersecting subset of P_n of maximum size.*

Conjecture 2.6 *For $t+1 \leq k \leq n$, $P_{n,k}([t])$ is a properly t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size.*

Conjecture 2.5 is trivial for $n < t(t+1)/2$, and Conjecture 2.6 is trivial for $n < t(t-1)/2+k$. Indeed, each member of a properly t-intersecting set of partitions must have at least t distinct parts; thus, $P_{n,k}$ has no non-empty t-intersecting subsets if $n < \sum_{i=1}^{t} i = t(t+1)/2$, and $P_{n,k}$ has no non-empty t-intersecting subsets if $n < t(t+1)/2 + k - t = t(t-1)/2 + k$. The reason why we need $k \geq t+1$ in Conjecture 2.6 is similar to that for Conjecture 2.2. If Conjecture 2.6 is true, then, by an argument similar to that of Proposition 1.3, Conjecture 2.5 is true.

The following generalisation of Theorem 1.5 tells us that Conjecture 2.6 is true for $n \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} k^3$. Its proof is given in the next section.

Theorem 2.7 *For $k \geq t+2$ and $n \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} k^3$, $P_{n,k}([t])$ is the unique properly t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ of maximum size.*

Similarly to Conjecture 2.2, Conjecture 2.6 is also true for $k = t+1$, but $P_{n,t+1}([t])$ is not the unique largest properly t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,t+1}$ for $n \geq t(t+1)/2 + 2$ when $t \geq 2$ (indeed, $\{(1,1,2,\dots,t-1,t+1)\}$ is another one).

We now proceed by proving Theorems 2.4 and 2.7.

3 Proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 2.7

Lemma 3.1 *Let $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$. Then $p_{m,k} \leq p_{n,k}$. Moreover, if $n > m$, $n \geq k+2$ and $k \geq 3$, then $p_{m,k} < p_{n,k}$.*

Proof. The case $k = 1$ is trivial. Suppose $k \geq 2$. Let $f\colon P_{m,k} \to P_{n,k}$ be the function that maps any partition $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ in $P_{m,k}$ to the partition $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ in $P_{n,k}$ with $b_k = a_k + n - m$ and $b_i = a_i$ for any $i \in [k-1]$. Clearly, f is one-to-one, and hence the size of its domain $P_{m,k}$ is at most the size of its co-domain $P_{n,k}$. So $p_{m,k} \leq p_{n,k}$.

Suppose $n > m$, $n \geq k+2$ and $k \geq 3$. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_k)$ with $c_i = 1$ for each $i \in [k]\backslash\{k-2, k-1, k\}$, $c_{k-2} = 1$ and $c_{k-1} = c_k = (n-k+2)/2$ if $n-k$ is even, and $c_{k-2} = 2$ and $c_{k-1} = c_k = (n-k+1)/2$ if $n-k$ is odd. So $\mathbf{c} \in P_{n,k}$. Since $m < n$, f maps each partition $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ in $P_{n,k}$ to a partition $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ with $b_{k-1} < b_k$. So $\mathbf{c}$ is not in the range of f. So f is not onto, and hence the size of its domain $P_{m,k}$ is less than the size of its co-domain $P_{n,k}$. So $p_{m,k} < p_{n,k}$. ☐

Lemma 3.2 *Let $c \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$. For any $n \geq ck^3$,*

$$p_{n,k} > c p_{n,k-1}.$$

Proof. The result is trivial for $k = 2$, so we assume $k \geq 3$. For each $i \in [ck^2]$, let $F_i = \{(i, a_1, ..., a_{k-2}, a_{k-1} - i)\colon (a_1, ..., a_{k-1}) \in P_{n,k-1}\}$. Let $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_i$.

For any k-tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_k)$ of positive integers, let $\mathbf{x}^{\rightarrow}$ be the k-tuple obtained by putting the entries of $\mathbf{x}$ in increasing order; that is, $\mathbf{x}^{\rightarrow}$ is the k-tuple $(x_1', \dots, x_k')$ such that $x_1' \leq \cdots \leq x_k'$ and, for each $j \in [k]$, $|\{i \in [k]\colon x_i = x_j\}| = |\{i \in [k]\colon x_i' = x_j\}|$.

Let $\mathbf{a}$ be a partition $(a_1, ..., a_{k-1})$ in $P_{n,k-1}$. Since $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{k-1}$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1} = n$, we have $a_{k-1} \geq \frac{n}{k-1}$, and hence, since $n \geq ck^3$, $a_{k-1} > ck^2$. So $a_{k-1} - i \geq 1$ for each $i \in [ck^2]$, meaning that the entries of each member of F are positive integers that add up to n. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{x}^{\rightarrow} \in P_{n,k} \text{ for each } \mathbf{x} \in F. \tag{1}$$

Let $G = \{\mathbf{y} \in P_{n,k}\colon \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^{\rightarrow} \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in F\}$. For each $\mathbf{y} \in G$, let $F_{\mathbf{y}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in F\colon \mathbf{x}^{\rightarrow} = \mathbf{y}\}$. By (1), $F \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathbf{y} \in G} F_{\mathbf{y}}$.

Let $\mathbf{y}$ be a partition $(y_1, ..., y_k)$ in G. Clearly, each member of $F_{\mathbf{y}}$ is in one of $F_{y_1}, \dots, F_{y_k}$; that is, $F_{\mathbf{y}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_{y_i}$. So $F_{\mathbf{y}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (F_{\mathbf{y}} \cap F_{y_i})$. Let $i \in [k]$ such that $F_{\mathbf{y}} \cap F_{y_i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ in $F_{\mathbf{y}} \cap F_{y_i}$. By definition, $x_1 = y_i$ and $x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{k-1}$. Thus, since $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^{\rightarrow}$ and $y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_k$, $\mathbf{x}$ is one of $(y_i, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_k)$, $(y_i, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{k-2}, y_k, y_{k-1})$, ..., $(y_i, y_3, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_k, y_2)$ (i.e. the $k-1$ k-tuples satisfying the following: the first entry is y_i, the k'th entry is y_j for some $j \in [k] \backslash \{i\}$, and the middle $k-2$ entries form the $(k-2)$-tuple obtained by deleting the i'th and j'th entry from $\mathbf{y}$). So $|F_{\mathbf{y}} \cap F_{y_i}| \leq k-1$.

Therefore, we have

$$|F| = \left| \bigcup_{\mathbf{y} \in G} F_{\mathbf{y}} \right| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in G} |F_{\mathbf{y}}| = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in G} \sum_{i=1}^{k} |F_{\mathbf{y}} \cap F_{y_i}| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in G} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-1) = |G|k(k-1) < |P_{n,k}|k^2$$

and hence $p_{n,k} > \frac{|F|}{k^2}$. Now $F_1, \dots, F_{ck^2}$ are disjoint sets, each of size $p_{n,k-1}$. So $|F| = ck^2 p_{n,k-1}$ and hence $p_{n,k} > cp_{n,k-1}$. $\Box$

The last lemma we need before proving Theorems 2.4 and 2.7 emerges from [6].

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial t-intersecting family such that $|A| \leq r$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a set J of size at most $3r - 2t - 1$ such that $|A \cap J| \geq t + 1$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $(t+1)$-intersecting, then we just take J to be an arbitrary set in $\mathcal{A}$. So suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is not $(t+1)$-intersecting. Then there exist $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|A_1 \cap A_2| = t$. Thus, since $\mathcal{A}$ is a non-trivial t-intersecting family, there exists $A_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_1 \cap A_2 \nsubseteq A_3$, and hence $|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3| \leq t - 1$. Take J to be $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$. So $|A \cap J| \geq t$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose there exists $A^* \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|A^* \cap J| = t$. Then $t \geq |A^* \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)| = |A^* \cap A_1| + |A^* \cap A_2| - |A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2| \geq 2t - |A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2|$, and hence $t \leq |A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2|$. Since $|A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2| \leq |A^* \cap J| = t$, we actually have $|A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2| = |A^* \cap J|$, and hence $A^* \cap J = A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2$ (as $A_1 \cap A_2 \subset J$). So we have $t \leq |A^* \cap A_3| = |A^* \cap (A_3 \cap J)| = |(A^* \cap J) \cap A_3| = |(A^* \cap A_1 \cap A_2) \cap A_3| \leq |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3|$, which contradicts $|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3| \leq t - 1$. So $|A \cap J| \geq t + 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Now $|J| = |A_1 \cup A_2| + |A_3| - |A_3 \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)|$. Since $|A_1 \cup A_2| \leq 2r - |A_1 \cap A_2| = 2r - t$ and $|A_3 \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)| = |A_3 \cap A_1| + |A_3 \cap A_2| - |A_3 \cap A_2 \cap A_1| \geq 2t - |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3| \geq 2t - (t-1) = t+1$, it follows that $|J| \leq (2r - t) + r - (t+1) = 3r - 2t - 1$. $\Box$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $k \geq t + 2$ and $n \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} k^3$. Let A be an intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ such that $A \neq P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle$. We prove the result by showing that $|A| < |P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle|$.

For each $\mathbf{a} \in P_{n,k}$, let $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ be as in Remark 1.6. Define $\mathcal{P}_{n,k}$ and f also as in Remark 1.6. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{f(\mathbf{a}) \colon \mathbf{a} \in A\}$. Clearly, $|\mathcal{A}| = |A|$ (since f is a bijection) and $|X| = k$ for each $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that two integer partitions $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in $P_{n,k}$ t-intersect if and only if $|S_{\mathbf{a}} \cap S_{\mathbf{b}}| \geq t$. Thus, since A is a t-intersecting set, $\mathcal{A}$ is a t-intersecting family.

Suppose the sets in $\mathcal{A}$ have t common elements $(c_1, i_1), \dots, (c_t, i_t)$. Then t of the entries of each member of A are $c_1, \dots, c_t$. So $|A| \leq p_{n-s,k-t}$, where $s = c_1 + \dots + c_t$. Now $s \geq t$. If $s > t$, then, by Lemma 3.1, $|A| < p_{n-t,k-t} = |P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle|$. Suppose $s = t$. Then $c_1 = \dots = c_t = 1$. So $A \subset P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle$. Since $A \neq P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle$, $|A| < |P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle|$.

Now suppose the sets in $\mathcal{A}$ do not have t common elements. So $\mathcal{A}$ is a non-trivial t-intersecting family. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a set J such that $|J| \leq 3k - 2t - 1$ and $|X \cap J| \geq t + 1$ for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$. So $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} \{X \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k} \colon T \subset X\}$. Let

$T^* \in \binom{J}{t+1}$ such that $|\{X \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k} \colon T \subset X\}| \leq |\{X \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k} \colon T^* \subset X\}|$ for all $T \in \binom{J}{t+1}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{X \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k} \colon T^* \subset X\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}| &\leq \left| \bigcup_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} \{X \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k} \colon T \subset X\} \right| \leq \sum_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} |\{X \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k} \colon T \subset X\}| \leq \sum_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} |\mathcal{B}| \\ &\leq \binom{|J|}{t+1} |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} |\mathcal{B}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B = \{\mathbf{b} \in P_{n,k} \colon f(\mathbf{b}) = X \text{ for some } X \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Since f is bijective, $|B| = |\mathcal{B}|$. Let $(e_1, i_1), \dots, (e_{t+1}, i_{t+1})$ be the elements of T^*. Then, by definition of B, $t+1$ of the entries of each member of B are $e_1, \dots, e_{t+1}$. So $|B| \leq p_{n-q,k-(t+1)}$, where $q = e_1 + \cdots + e_{t+1}$. Thus, since $q \geq t+1$, $|B| \leq p_{n-(t+1),k-(t+1)}$ by Lemma 3.1.

Let $n' = n - t$ and $k' = k - t$. Since $n' \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} k^3 - t > \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} (k')^3$, we have $p_{n',k'} > \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} p_{n',k'-1}$ by Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.1, $p_{n'-1,k'-1} \leq p_{n',k'-1}$. Thus, since $|A| = |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} |\mathcal{B}|$ and $|\mathcal{B}| = |B| \leq p_{n'-1,k'-1}$, we have $|A| \leq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} p_{n'-1,k'-1} < p_{n',k'}$. Since $|P_{n,k}\langle t \rangle| = p_{n',k'}$, the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Let $k \geq t+2$ and $n \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} k^3$. Let A be a properly t-intersecting subset of $P_{n,k}$ such that $A \neq P_{n,k}([t])$. We prove the result by showing that $|A| < |P_{n,k}([t])|$.

For each $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in P_{n,k}$, let $R_{\mathbf{a}} = \{a_i \colon i \in [k]\}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{R_{\mathbf{a}} \colon \mathbf{a} \in A\}$. So $|X| \leq k$ for each $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Since A is a t-intersecting set, $\mathcal{A}$ is a t-intersecting family.

Suppose the sets in $\mathcal{A}$ have a common t-element subset T (i.e. $T \subseteq \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{A}} X$). Then $A \subseteq P_{n,k}(T)$. So $|A| \leq |P_{n,k}(T)| = p_{n-s,k-t}$, where $s = \sum_{a \in T} a$. Now $s \geq \sum_{i \in [t]} i = t(t+1)/2$, and equality holds only if $T = [t]$. If $s > t(t+1)/2$, then, by Lemma 3.1, $|A| < p_{n-t(t+1)/2,k-t} = |P_{n,k}([t])|$. Suppose $s = t(t+1)/2$. Then $T = [t]$. So $A \subset P_{n,k}([t])$. Since $A \neq P_{n,k}([t])$, $|A| < |P_{n,k}([t])|$.

Now suppose the sets in $\mathcal{A}$ do not have a common t-element subset. So $\mathcal{A}$ is a non-trivial t-intersecting family. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a set J such that $|J| \leq 3k-2t-1$ and $|X \cap J| \geq t+1$ for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$. So $A \subseteq \bigcup_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} P_{n,k}(T)$. Let $T^* \in \binom{J}{t+1}$ such that $|P_{n,k}(T)| \leq |P_{n,k}(T^*)|$ for all $T \in \binom{J}{t+1}$. Let $q = \sum_{a \in T^*} a$ and $r = \sum_{i \in [t+1]} i = (t+1)(t+2)/2$. Then $|P_{n,k}(T^*)| = p_{n-q,k-t-1}$, $q \geq r$, and hence, by Lemma 3.1, $|P_{n,k}(T^*)| \leq p_{n-r,k-t-1}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\leq \left| \bigcup_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} P_{n,k}(T) \right| \leq \sum_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} |P_{n,k}(T)| \leq \sum_{T \in \binom{J}{t+1}} |P_{n,k}(T^*)| \\ &\leq \binom{|J|}{t+1} p_{n-r,k-t-1} \leq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} p_{n-r,k-t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n' = n - t(t+1)/2$ and $k' = k - t$. Since $n' \geq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} k^3 - t(t+1)/2 > \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} (k')^3$, we have $p_{n',k'} > \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} p_{n',k'-1}$ by Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.1, $p_{n-r,k-t-1} \leq p_{n',k'-1}$. Thus, since $|A| \leq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} p_{n-r,k-t-1}$, we have $|A| \leq \binom{3k-2t-1}{t+1} p_{n',k'-1} < p_{n',k'}$. Since $|P_{n,k}([t])| = p_{n',k'}$, the result follows. □

References

[1] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, The complete intersection theorem for systems of finite sets, European J. Combin. 18 (1997), 125–136.

[2] G.E. Andrews and K. Eriksson, Integer partitions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[3] P. Borg, Extremal t-intersecting sub-families of hereditary families, J. London Math. Soc. 79 (2009), 167–185.

[4] P. Borg, Intersecting families of sets and permutations: a survey, in: Advances in Mathematics Research (A.R. Baswell Ed.), Volume 16, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2011, pp 283–299, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.6144.

[5] M. Deza and P. Frankl, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem – 22 years later, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods 4 (1983), 419–431.

[6] P. Erdős, C. Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 12 (1961), 313–320.

[7] P. Frankl, The shifting technique in extremal set theory, in: C. Whitehead (Ed.), Combinatorial Surveys, Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New York, 1987, pp. 81–110.